

# Solutions to Final 2018

## Problem 1

The system is equivalent to two parallel-plate capacitors of capacitances  $C_1 = \epsilon A/h$  and  $C_2 = \epsilon_0 A/(d-h)$  connected in a series. Hence,

(a)

$$C^{-1} = C_1^{-1} + C_2^{-1} = A^{-1}[h\epsilon^{-1} + (d-h)\epsilon_0^{-1}], \implies C = \frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon A}{\epsilon_0 h + \epsilon(d-h)}.$$

(b)

$$W_E = \frac{CV_0^2}{2} = \frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon AV_0^2}{2[\epsilon_0 h + \epsilon(d-h)]}.$$

## Problem 2

According to Faraday's law,

$$\mathcal{E} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt}.$$

The magnetic flux,

$$\Phi = \int d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad d\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{a}_z \rho d\phi d\rho;$$

and the magnetic flux density can be expressed in the cylindrical coordinates as

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{a}_z B_0 \rho \cos \phi \cos \omega t.$$

It follows that

$$\Phi = B_0 \cos \omega t \int_0^R d\rho \rho^2 \int_0^\alpha d\phi \cos \phi (\mathbf{a}_z \cdot \mathbf{a}_z) = B_0 \cos \omega t \sin \alpha R^3/3.$$

Finally,

$$\mathcal{E} = \left( \frac{\omega B_0 R^3}{3} \right) \sin \alpha \sin \omega t.$$

## Problem 3

a) By definition,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a}_r & r\mathbf{a}_\theta & r \sin \theta \mathbf{a}_\phi \\ \partial_r & \partial_\theta & \partial_\phi \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} B_0 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{vmatrix} = B_0 (\mathbf{a}_r \cos \theta - \mathbf{a}_\theta \sin \theta) = B_0 \mathbf{a}_z.$$

By the same token,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a}_r & r\mathbf{a}_\theta & r \sin \theta \mathbf{a}_\phi \\ \partial_r & \partial_\theta & \partial_\phi \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{B_0 a^3 \sin^2 \theta}{2r} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{B_0 a^3}{2r^3} (2 \cos \theta \mathbf{a}_r + \sin \theta \mathbf{a}_\theta).$$

b) By definition in free space,  $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}$ . Further,

$$W_m = \frac{1}{2} \int dv (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}) = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \int dv (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B})$$

Thus, inside a sphere of radius  $a$ ,

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} = B_0^2 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) = B_0^2.$$

It follows that

$$W_m = \frac{B_0^2}{2\mu_0} v_{\text{sphere}} = \frac{2\pi B_0^2 a^3}{3\mu_0}.$$

## Problem 4

We employ Bio-Savart's law,

$$d\mathbf{H}_P = \frac{I d\mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{R}}{4\pi R^3}.$$

1) Segments "1" and "3" are straight, implying that  $I d\mathbf{l} = I dx \mathbf{a}_x$  and  $\mathbf{R} = \pm x \mathbf{a}_x$ . It follows at once that  $I d\mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{R} = 0$  and these segments don't contribute to the field at  $P$ .

2) On segment "2", we have,  $I d\mathbf{l} = -I b d\phi \mathbf{a}_\phi$ , and  $\mathbf{R} = -b \mathbf{a}_\rho$ ; the minus signs come from the fact that the positive direction of  $\mathbf{a}_\phi$  is counterclockwise and  $\mathbf{R}$  is a distance from a current element to the observation point. Putting it all together,

$$d\mathbf{H}_P = \frac{I b d\phi \mathbf{a}_\phi \times b \mathbf{a}_\rho}{4\pi b^3},$$

Observing that  $\mathbf{a}_\phi \times \mathbf{a}_\rho = -\mathbf{a}_z$ , we obtain,

$$\mathbf{H}_P = -\frac{I}{4\pi b} \mathbf{a}_z \int_0^\pi d\phi = -\frac{I}{4b} \mathbf{a}_z.$$

## Problem 5

a) In the Poisson equation, as the charge density depends only on  $z$ , so does the potential,  $V = V(z)$ . Hence,

$$\frac{d^2 V}{dz^2} = -(\rho_0/\epsilon) e^{-\kappa|z|}.$$

Consider the upper half-space first,  $z > 0$ ,  $\epsilon = \epsilon_>$ . We have

$$\frac{d^2 V_>}{dz^2} = -(\rho_0/\epsilon_>)e^{-\kappa z}.$$

Integrating twice, we obtain,

$$V_>(z) = C_1 z + C_2 - \frac{\rho_0}{\kappa^2 \epsilon_>} e^{-\kappa z}.$$

First, the potential has to be finite at any  $z$ , implying that  $C_1 = 0$ . Second, far away,  $z \rightarrow +\infty$ , the potential tends to a zero reference potential resulting in  $C_2 = 0$ . Thus,

$$V_>(z) = -\frac{\rho_0}{\kappa^2 \epsilon_>} e^{-\kappa z}.$$

By the same token,

$$V_<(z) = -\frac{\rho_0}{\kappa^2 \epsilon_<} e^{\kappa z}.$$

b) By definition,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V = -\mathbf{a}_z dV/dz.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{\rho_0}{\kappa \epsilon_>}\right) e^{-\kappa z} \mathbf{a}_z, & z > 0; \\ \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\kappa \epsilon_<}\right) e^{\kappa z} \mathbf{a}_z, & z < 0. \end{cases}$$

c) It follows from the boundary conditions that

$$\rho_s = \mathbf{a}_{n21} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_1 - \mathbf{D}_2)|_{z=0}.$$

In our case,  $\mathbf{a}_{n21} = \mathbf{a}_z$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_2 = \epsilon_< \mathbf{E}_< = (\rho_0/\kappa) e^{\kappa z} \mathbf{a}_z$ , and  $\mathbf{D}_1 = \epsilon_> \mathbf{E}_> = -(\rho_0/\kappa) e^{\kappa z} \mathbf{a}_z$ , implying that

$$\rho_s = -2\rho_0/\kappa.$$